CLARION MAILBAG

The following was submitted to The Montelarion on Nov 22

To the Editor:

swindle.

Governor Corzine has cut Higher Education and a great many other worthy programs in the name of "balancing the budget."

Governor-elect Christie is preparing to do even more of the same. He is even talking of

a so-called "fiscal emergency." We should recognize that this is all a

The New Jersey budget, like that of New York, California and all other states, can easily be balanced through a progressive income tax. That is what should be done.

Among those people who have some understanding of what is going on, who is opposed to doing this? Two groups: businesses and wealthy individuals (These two groups are often interrelated).

Wealthy individuals do not have to make use of the publicly-funded facilities like public schools and colleges and social welfare programs that have been cut back. Businesses don't use them at all, in any direct way.

The owners of the mass media are, of course, in both categories; wealthy individu-

als and very large businesses. It is not surprising that they echo the view: "Taxes are bad! Don't raise taxes - cut the budget!"

Of course they say this! That's because they reflect the interests of the wealthy, not that of the vast majority of the population.

So-called "conservative" and "libertarian" political groups are really propagandists for these two groups; a fact they do their best to hide, of course,

As for the rest of us: we ought to be demanding a much more steeply progressive income tax, and an increase in taxes so as to (a) balance the budget, while (b) maintaining and, in fact, increasing expenditures for programs that benefit the majority of the population.

Propagandists for business interests will cry: "But you will drive business out of the state!" Nonsense. It is flatly not true.

But if it were true, then what? Don't tax businesses at all? In that case, a sharply progressive income tax on individuals would be even more necessary.

It is clear that a progressive income tax and sharply higher taxes are needed to fund social programs that serve the vast majority of our citizens. This is the case in all socialwelfare industrial states; Canada, Western Europe, Australia, etc.

Higher taxes, meaning, sharply progressive income taxes, mean more freedom, not less, for the majority of the population, That's what we need.

Of course, to get this result, we would have to have representatives who are responsive to the needs of those who elect them. We would have to have a democracy.

And we don't have one. The United States is not a democratic country. We live in a plutocracy, with a democratic facade. We live in a country whose elected representatives are responsive to the very rich.

It's fashionable in some quarters to criticize Marxism. This is because Marx and his followers got it right. Capitalism is a "dictatorship of the capitalists." It isn't and cannot be a democracy.

Meanwhile, there are still the examples of Canada, Western Europe, Australia, New Zealand and all the other major industrial societies. There, high and progressive income taxes fund programs that, while far from what is really needed, look like paradise in comparison to what we Americans

Let's demand a progressive income tax in New Jersey.

What's the alternative? We are living

it! We state employees are being "taxed" by heavy pay cuts ("furlough" days, pay increase deferments).

Our students are being "taxed" by the cutbacks in higher education.

All working New Jersevans are being "taxed" by the cuts in essential public services, which we have all paid for through our taxes in the past.

The union movement, faculty and student groups, the higher education community, progressive political groups and all of us concerned for the welfare of the working people should demand an increase in the income tax to stop any cuts in State services; to expand those services and to pay for them.

Anything less is a swindle.

Grover Furr English Department

